Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Baljit Singh Gill, S/o Sh. Gurcharan Singh, Patti Nangal, VPO Kokari Kalan, District Moga-142054

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, District Moga. First Appellate Authority, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, District Moga.

Appeal Case No. 1408 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Baljit Sin g(Appellant) 8427800076. Gurmeet Singh, ASI (for the Respondent) 97800-01662

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 23.7.2020.)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **6.1.2020** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information</u> regarding application dated: **28.5.2019** by Registered Post and reminder on **26.7.2019** by Registered Post, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **17.2.2020**, and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **4.6.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on 23.7.2020.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. At the last hearing on 23.7.2020, the respondent PIO, was directed to email a copy of the information furnished to the appellant, to this Commission. Having examined the aforesaid information, this Commission is of the view that the RTI application has been suitably addressed.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shingara Singh, s/o Dalip Singh, Village Raowal, PO Fatehgarh Sahib, District Moga.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Tehsildar, Dharamkot, District Moga.

Complaint Case No. 200 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Shingara Singh (Complainant) 98157-19750 Niranjan Singh, Clerk (for the respondent) 987688001.

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 3.6.2020 and 6.8.2020)

- 1. The complainant, Shingara Singh, filed this RTI application dated **15.10.2029** and sought information <u>regarding a particular agricultural tube-well connection AP 32/685,</u> from the PIO, o/o Sub. Divisional Officer, PSPCL, Fatehgarh Panjtoor, Distt. Moga.When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **2.3.2020**. The case was last heard on **6.8.2020**.
- 2. Both the parties are present through Video Conference Facility in the DC office at Moga. The respondent PIO, represented by Niranjan Singh, Clerk from the office of Tehsildar, Dharamkot, stated that a copy of the information had been sent to the office of the SDO, PSPCL Moga. However, he is carrying another copy to this hearing and has, on the directions of this Commission, handed it over to the Complainant. The Complainant looked over the information furnished and stated that he is satisfied with it.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this Complaint case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Iqbal Singh Rasulpur, Village Rasulpur, Tehsil Jagraon, District Ludhiana – 142 035.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Director General of Police, Punjab Police Head Quarter, Sector-9, Chandigarh. First Appellate Authority, o/o Director General of Police (Admn), Punjab, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 4019 of 2019 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent- 8872200515. PurshottamKumar, ASI (For theRespondent) 9417131510.

ORDER:

(Toberead in continuity with earlier orders on 27.12.19, 19.2.2020, and 22.7.2020)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **4.1.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information by way of official instructions and orders pertaining to the appointment of SHOs etc.</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **18.2.19**, and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **24.10.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **22.7.2020**.
- 2. The appellant is absent, but he has informed this Court that he is available on phone. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Purshottam Kumar, stated that the original affidavit submitted by the respondent PIO, was sent to the appellant by Registered Post, but was returned as "undelivered". The respondent PIO is directed to send the original Affidavit to this Commission, where it is to be kept on file to be handed over to the appellant.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Nirmal Singh, s/o Mahinder Singh, Village Manakmajra, Tehsil & District Mohali-140308

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Greater Mohali Area Development Authority PUDA Bhawan, Sector-62, Mohali. First Appellate Authority, o/o Estate Officer, Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, PUDA Bhawan, Sector-62, Mohali.

Appeal Case No. 1163 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Nirmal Singh (Appellant) 74800-00071 Harpal Singh, Superintendant (for the Respondent) 8427610342.

ORDER:

(Toberead in continuity with earlier orders on 23.7.2020)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **14.11.2019** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding detail of property, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **13.1.2020**, and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **17.3.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **23.7.2020**.
- 2. Both the parties are present through Video Conference Facility in the office of the DC at Mohali. As directed at the last hearing, the respondent PIO has submitted copies of the letter sent to the third party on 23.12.19. After examining the respondent PIO's reply, this Commission is of the view that the information requested in fact pertains to a third party (Smt. Pal Kaur), who has refused disclosure of the same to the appellant.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Randhir Singh,

s/o Arjan Singh, VPO Banur, Near Old Police Station, Mohalla Sainia, Tehsil & District S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o AEE, Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, Sub Division Banur, Tehsil & District S.A.S Nagar, Mohali. First Appellate Authority, o/o XEN, Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, Division Zirakpur, District S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali.

Appeal Case No. 1114 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Randhir Singh (Appellant) 98155-67427 (Respondent) Absent.

ORDER:

(Toberead in continuity with earlier orders on 23.7.2020)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **8.9.2019** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information</u> regarding a domestic electric connection, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **27.11.2019**, and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **13.3.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **23.7.2020**.
- 2. The respondent PIO is absent, but, as directed by this Commission on 23.7.2020, has sent a scanned copy of the reply handed over to the appellant. The Commission has examined the reply in detail and is satisfied that the appellant's request for information has been adequately addressed.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith is **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner